United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

V.) 	
CHRISTOPHER LEE JOHNSON) Case No. 5:15-CR-172-14F	
Defendant)	
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL		
After conducting a detention hearing under the require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
Part I—	-Findings of Fact	
$\ \square$ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense describe	bed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
of \square a federal offense \square a state or local of	offense that would have been a federal offense if federal	
jurisdiction had existed - that is		
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S. for which the prison term is 10 years or r	.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) more.	
☐ an offense for which the maximum sente	ence is death or life imprisonment.	
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison	term of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	.*	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ad been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses (C), or comparable state or local offenses:	
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence	e but involves:	
□ a minor victim		
\Box the possession or use of a firearm or	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	§ 2250	
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was comfederal, state release or local offense.	nmitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a	
\Box (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed si	ince the \(\square \) date of conviction \(\square \) the defendant's release	
from prison for the offense described in findi	ng (1).	
	able presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety ter find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
Alterna	tive Findings (A)	
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the de	efendant has committed an offense	
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten		
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	·	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
1 (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention
I	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and
Base be in	ed on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that can emposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community. The reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably use the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community. The nature of the charges The lack of stable employment The apparent strength of the government's case The lack of a suitable custodian The indication of substance abuse The defendant's criminal history Other:
	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention
in a correpending a order of U	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement ctions facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility were the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.
Date: Jur	ne 15, 2015 **Mobert T Members T ** **Judge's signature**

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title